Appendix 1 - Quarter 3 2015/16 Corporate Performance Report



RAG Rating			Direction of T	ravel (DOT)	Description		
	Green	On, above or within the 'target tolerance' of the quarter		Short Term: Performance is better than the previous quarter	Corporate Plan Indicator		
		target		Long Term: Performance is better than at the same point last year	Outturns reported cumulatively	(C)	
					Outturns reported as snapshot	(S)	
	Amber	More than the 'target tolerance' off the quarter target but where performance has improved or been maintained.		Short Term: Performance is the same as the previous quarter Long Term: Performance is the same as at the same point last year	Outturns reported as rolling year	(R)	
	Red	More than the 'target tolerance' off the quarter target and where performance is worsening		Short Term: Performance is worse than the previous quarter Long Term: Performance is worse than at the same point last year			

Description	Indicator	Value	2015/16 Annual Target	2015/16 Quarter 2 Target	Variable Tolerance	2015/16 Quarter 3 Performance	Shor	Short Term DOT against 2015/16 (Q2) 2014/15 (Q3)			Comments	Service	O&S Sub-Committee
SAFE: Suppor	ting our community		-	_	-				-	_			
	Percentage of new patients attending sexual health services accepting offer of HIV test	Bigger is Better	85%	85%	±5%	86% GREEN	♠	84.5%	-	NEW	Improvement can be seen between quarters 2 and 3 with target being exceeded.	Public Health Local performance indicator	Health
(C)	Number of schools achieving stated level of healthy schools award	Bigger is Better	65 Registered 25 Bronze 8 Silver 2 Gold	60 Registered 19 Bronze 6 Silver 1 Gold	Under performance on more than 1 level of achievement	59 Registered 25 Bronze 3 Silver 1 Gold AMBER	•	58 Registered 23 Bronze 3 Silver 0 Gold	-	NEW	"Registered" and "Silver" are slightly below target, but we remain confident that we will meet the Q4 target.	Public Health Registered with Healthy Schools London	Health
(5)	Percentage of women smoking at Time of Delivery	Smaller is Better	10%	10%	±1%	5.4% (Q2 2015/16 time lag) AMBER	1	10.9% (Q1 2015/16 time lag)	*	11.5% (Q2 2014/15)	There is a time lag in relation to this indicator, as such performance shown is that of Q2. 2015/16 Q2 performance is 5.4% compared to Q1 performance of 10.9% (where lower is better). There are a number of factors that are likely to have contributed to this. This includes a new Havering/B&D jointly funded BabyClear programme. There has also been increased national publicity on the effect of passive smoking on children, including in cars when children are passengers.	Public Health Reported to Department for Health (DH) (PHOF)	Health
SAFE: Using o	our influence												
(C)	Percentage of eligible patients offered an NHS Health Check	Bigger is Better	20% (equates to 13,343)	15%	±10%	10.6% (7,104 of 66,713) AMBER	1	8.2% (5,474 of 66,713)	¥	14.2% (9,529 of 67,265)	Q3 cumulative performance (10.6%) is below target (15.0%) and worse than at the same point in the previous year (14.2%). However performance has improved since Q2. To date, 7,104 people have received an invite offer to undertake an NHS Health Check; 2,425 fewer than in 2014/15. The level of payment for this activity, although comparable to that paid by other boroughs, is insufficient to really motivate GPs to undertake the activity. We provided additional support to GPs to increase activity but it has not led to sustained improvement. We are not in a position to increase the payments to GPs to undertake the work. Therefore we anticipate continued underperformance.	Public Health Local performance indicator (The statutory return to the DH uses less accurate population data)	Health